



Paper review

Dear Sir or Madam,

We kindly ask you to evaluate the attached article and provide responses to the questions below.

We guarantee anonymity to our Reviewers.

Editorial Board of The Medical Law Quarterly

Paper title: [...]

A. Recommendation

accept for publication without author's corrections

accept for publication subject to corrections

resubmit for review after corrections have been made by the author

reject due to insufficient scientific quality

B. Detailed assessment:

		no	rather no	rather yes	yes
1.	the issue is important for the subject matter under discussion				
2.	the solution to the issue is new in the literature on the subject				
3.	the arguments given justify the conclusion of the article				
4.	the theses are formulated unambiguously				



5.	the author demonstrates a good knowledge of the literature on the subject				
6.	the theses are supported by a bibliography or the author's arguments				
7.	the arguments are free from serious logical errors				
8.	the article is free from unjustified empirical generalizations				
9.	the cited works are closely related to the topic of the article				
10.	the article is linguistically correct				

C. Detailed justification of the recommendation (visible to the editorial board and the author):

D. Comment visible only to the editorial board: